

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/788,899 | 02/27/2004 | Kevin Torek | 303.866US1 | 4587 | |
| 21186 7. | 590 08/03/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 | | | LEE, CALVIN | | |
| | s IS, MN 55402-0938 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2818 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/788,899 | TOREK et al. | - (me) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Lee, Calvin | 2818 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• · | • | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | • | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application. | | • | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | 1. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form P | O-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | , , | | Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | - | | J | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | d. | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) [] (-1 | (DTO 442) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | | |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTC | D-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application No: 10/788,899 Page 2
Docket No: 303.866US1 TOREK et al.

OFFICE ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-71 are restricted under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- (A) Claims 1-50, drawn to a method of etching a conductor [class 438, subclass 745];
- (B) Claims 51-71, drawn to a trench capacitor [class 257, subclass 301].
- 2. Inventions A and B are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

In this case: (Group B compared to Group A) a conductive structure of the trench capacitor can be formed in many different techniques including the wet-etching method of Group A.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Lee at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner David Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The central fax number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

Calvin Lee

Date: August 1, 2005

calonle